UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FILED				
		District of	Nebraska DE NEBRASKA	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V. MARVIN RICARDO SOLIS	ORDER OF	DETENTION PENDING THAL 4:10MJ30J2FICE OF THE CLERK	
Deformanti				
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.				
1 /		Part I—Findings of Fact		
D (1)	(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in			
/ <u> </u>				
	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1).			
(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternative Findings (A)			
x (1)	There is probable cause to believe that th			
, (2)		onment of ten years or more is prescribed in	21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seq	
X (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presun	of rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
	Alternative Findings (B) (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.			
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.			
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a preponderance of the evidence that				
	77			
to the ex reasonal Governr	defendant is committed to the custody of the ktent practicable, from persons awaiting oble opportunity for private consultation wi	r serving sentences or being held in custody th defense counsel. On order of a court of the	on ative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a the United States or on request of an attorney for the aited States marshal for the purpose of an appearance	
	April 5, 2010	s/ Cheryl R.	Zwart	
Date		Signature of Judicial Officer		
		Cheryl R. Zwart, U.S. Magistrate Judge		
		Manus and Th	tle of Indicial Officer	

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).